arXiv:1611.08835v1 [math.GT] 27 Nov 2016

# On the global rigidity of sphere packings on 3-dimensional manifolds

Xu Xu

November 29, 2016

**Abstract**

In this paper, we prove the global rigidity of sphere packings on 3-dimensional triangulated manifolds, which was conjectured by Cooper and Rivin in [5]. This is an analogue of the rigidity part of Andreev-Thurston Theorem in three dimension. We further study the global rigidity of the combinatorial scalar curvature introduced by Ge and the author in [12] and get the global rigidity for this curvature.

## 1 Introduction

To study Andreev's theorem for general triangulated surfaces, Thurston ([29], Chapter 13) introduced the notion of circle packing with prescribed intersection angle. The result is now called Andreev-Thurston Theorem, which could be stated using the discrete Gauss curvature. Andreev-Thurston Theorem is composed of two parts. The first part is on the existence of circle packing for a given triangulation, saying that the admissible space of combinatorial curvature forms a convex open set bounded by some hyperplanes determined by the combinatorial and topological structure of the triangulated surface. The second part is on the rigidity of circle packing metrics, saying that the circle packing metric is uniquely determined by the discrete Gauss curvature (up to scaling in the Euclidean background geometry). There are lots of research on related topics. Chow and Luo [4] introduced a combinatorial Ricci flow to deform circle packing metrics and provided a new proof of Andreev-Thurston theorem. Ge and the author [10, 11] introduced a new combinatorial Gauss curvature for triangulated surfaces and got a generalization of Andreev-Thurston Theorem. We further introduced several combinatorial curvature flows, including combinatorial Ricci flow, Calabi flow and Yamabe flow, to deform the circle packing metrics, aiming at finding circle packing metrics with prescribing combinatorial curvature. Thurston's circle packing metrics could be generalized to circle packing metrics

with inversive distance [3, 21] and piecewise linear metrics [24]. For the rigidity and deformation theory of these metrics, we refer the readers to [7, 8, 13, 18, 19, 20, 24, 26, 30].

To study the high dimensional case parallelling to the circle packing on 2-dimensional triangulated manifolds, Cooper and Rivin [5] introduced sphere packings for 3-dimensional triangulated manifolds. Suppose $M$ is a 3-dimensional closed manifold with a triangulation $\mathcal{T} = \{V, E, F, T\}$, where the symbols $V, E, F, T$ represent the sets of vertices, edges, faces and tetrahedrons respectively. A sphere packing metric is a map $r : V \to (0, +\infty)$ such that the length between vertices $i$ and $j$ is $l_{ij} = r_i + r_j$ for each edge $\{i, j\} \in E$, and the lengths $l_{ij}, l_{ik}, l_{il}, l_{jk}, l_{jl}, l_{kl}$ determine a Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron for each tetrahedron $\{i, j, k, l\} \in T$.

To study the properties of sphere packing metrics, Cooper and Rivin [5] further introduced the notion of combinatorial scalar curvature $K_i$, which is defined as angle deficit of solid angles at a vertex $i$

$$K_i = 4\pi - \sum_{\{i,j,k,l\} \in T} \alpha_{ijkl}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha_{ijkl}$ is the solid angle at the vertex $i$ of the tetrahedron $\{i, j, k, l\} \in T$ and the summation is taken over all tetrahedrons with $i$ as one of its vertices. When we are discussing in a single tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$, we usually denote the solid angle $\alpha_{ijkl}$ at the vertex $v_i$ as $\alpha_i$ for convenience in the following. $K_i$ locally measures the difference between the volume growth rate of a small ball centered at vertex $v_i$ in $M$ and a Euclidean ball of the same radius. Cooper and Rivin's definition of combinatorial scalar curvature is motivated by the fact that, in the smooth case, the scalar curvature at a point $p$ locally measures the difference of the volume growth rate of the geodesic ball with center $p$ to the Euclidean ball [1, 23]. From this point of view, Cooper and Rivin's definition of combinatorial scalar curvature is a good candidate as combinatorial scalar curvature with geometric meanings parallel to the smooth case. For convenience, we call Cooper and Rivin's definition of combinatorial scalar curvature as CR-curvature for short in the following, if there is no confusion.

Using the combinatorial scalar curvature $K$, Cooper and Rivin [5] proved the following local rigidity of sphere packing metrics.

**Theorem 1.1** ([5])**.** Given a closed 3-dimensional triangulated manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$, a Euclidean or hyperbolic sphere packing metric is locally determined by its combinatorial scalar curvature $K$ (up to scaling for the Euclidean background geometry).

The global rigidity is then left as a conjecture and the existence is left as an open problem. Glickenstein [14, 15] once introduced a combinatorial Yamabe flow to study the corresponding constant curvature problem. He found that the combinatorial scalar curvature evolves according to a heat type equation and showed that the solution converges

to a constant curvature metric under some additional conditions. Luo [25] once introduced a combinatorial curvature flow to deform metrics of triangulated three-dimensional manifolds with boundary. However, the definition of curvature he used is different from that of Cooper and Rivin's definition. Ge and the author [9, 10] generalized Cooper and Rivin's definition of combinatorial scalar curvature and introduced a combinatorial Yamabe flow to deform the sphere packing metric aiming at finding the corresponding constant curvature sphere packing metrics on 3-dimensional triangulated manifolds.

In this paper, we prove the global rigidity of sphere packing for 3-dimensional triangulated manifolds, which was conjectured by Cooper and Rivin in [5]. We get the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Given a close connected triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$,

**(1)** A Euclidean sphere packing metric on $(M, \mathcal{T})$ is determined by its combinatorial scalar curvature $K : V \to \mathbb{R}$ up to scaling.

**(2)** A hyperbolic sphere packing metric on $(M, \mathcal{T})$ is determined by its combinatorial scalar curvature $K : V \to \mathbb{R}$.

Although CR-curvature is a good candidate as the combinatorial scalar curvature, it has two disadvantages comparing to the smooth scalar curvature on Riemannian manifolds. The first is that it is scaling invariant with respect to the sphere packing, i.e. $K(\lambda r) = K(r)$ for $\lambda > 0$; The second is that $K_i$ tends to be zero as the triangulation of the manifolds is finer and finer. Motivated by the observations in two dimension, Ge and the author [10] introduced a new combinatorial scalar curvature defined as $R_i = \frac{K_i}{r_i^2}$ for the Euclidean background geometry, which makes up the two disadvantages just mentioned if we take $g_i = r_i^2$ as the analogue of the Riemannian metric 2-tensor for the Euclidean background geometry. This definition can be modified to fit the case of hyperbolic background geometry. In summery, we can define the combinatorial scalar curvature as follows

$$R_i = \frac{K_i}{s_i^2}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $s_i = r_i$ for the Euclidean background geometry and $s_i = \tanh \frac{r_i}{2}$ for the hyperbolic background geometry. In the following, we call the combinatorial scalar curvature defined in (1.2) as $R$-curvature for short. For this combinatorial scalar curvature, we have the following global rigidity.

**Theorem 1.3.** Given a connected closed triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$, $\overline{R} \in C(V)$ is a given function on $(M, \mathcal{T})$.

**(1)** In the case of Euclidean background geometry, if $\overline{R} \equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$ up to scaling; if $\overline{R} \leq 0$ and $\overline{R} \not\equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

**(2)** In the case of hyperbolic background geometry, if $\overline{R} \leq 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

We further extend our definition of combinatorial scalar curvature $R$ to $\alpha$-curvature, which is defined as

$$R_{\alpha,i} = \frac{K_i}{s_i^\alpha}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. For the $\alpha$-curvature, we have the following global rigidity.

**Theorem 1.4.** Given a connected closed triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$ and $\overline{R} \in C(V)$ is a given function on $M$.

**(1)** In the case of Euclidean background geometry, if $\alpha\overline{R} \equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $\alpha$-curvature $\overline{R}$ up to scaling. For $\overline{R} \in C(V)$ with $\alpha\overline{R} \leq 0$ and $\alpha\overline{R} \not\equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $\alpha$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

**(2)** In the case of hyperbolic background geometry, if $\alpha\overline{R} \leq 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $\alpha$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

Obviously, Theorem 1.4 generalizes Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts on sphere packing metrics for 3-dimensional triangulated manifolds and get some useful extension lemmas for the proof of the global rigidity. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2, the global rigidity for CR-curvature. In Section 4, We study the global rigidity for $R$-curvature. In Section 5, we generalize the results to $\alpha$-curvature.

# 2 Preliminary

## 2.1 Admissible space for sphere packing metrics

Suppose $M$ is a 3-dimensional closed manifold with a triangulation $\mathcal{T} = \{V, E, F, T\}$, where the symbols $V, E, F, T$ represent the sets of vertices, edges, faces and tetrahedrons respectively. A sphere packing metric is a map $r : V \to (0, +\infty)$ such that the length between vertices $i$ and $j$ is $l_{ij} = r_i + r_j$ for each edge $\{i, j\} \in E$, and the lengths $l_{ij}, l_{ik}, l_{il}, l_{jk}, l_{jl}, l_{kl}$ determine a Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron for each tetrahedron

$\{i,j,k,l\} \in T$. We can take sphere packing metrics as points in $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$, $N$ times Cartesian product of $(0,\infty)$, where $N = V^{\#}$ denotes the number of vertices. And we use the notion $C(V)$ to denote the set of functions defined on the set of vertices $V$. If $r$ is a sphere packing metric on $(M,\mathcal{T})$, we can image that there is a 2-dimensional sphere $S_i$ with radius $r_i$ attached to each vertex $v_i$ with $v_i$ as center. And if the vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are adjacent, the two spheres $S_i$ and $S_j$ are externally tangent.

As $l_{ij} = r_i + r_j$, it is obviously that the triangle inequalities are all satisfied. However, in order that $l_{ij}, l_{ik}, l_{il}, l_{jk}, l_{jl}, l_{kl}$ determines a Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron, there should be some nondegenerate conditions. It is found [5, 14] that for the Euclidean background geometry, Descarts' circle theorem, also called Soddy-Gossett theorem, can be used to describe the critical degenerate case. For the Euclidean background geometry, the admissible space for a tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$ is

$$\Omega^{\mathbb{E}}_{ijkl} = \{(r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \mathbb{R}^4_{>0} | Q^{\mathbb{E}}_{ijkl} > 0\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$Q^{\mathbb{E}}_{ijkl} = \left(\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{1}{r_j} + \frac{1}{r_k} + \frac{1}{r_l}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{1}{r_i^2} + \frac{1}{r_j^2} + \frac{1}{r_k^2} + \frac{1}{r_l^2}\right). \tag{2.2}$$

For the hyperbolic background geometry, we also have Soddy-Gossett theorem, which says that four geodesic circles in $\mathbb{H}^2$ of radii $r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l$ are externally tangent if

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{\mathbb{H}}_{ijkl} = &(\coth r_i + \coth r_j + \coth r_k + \coth r_l)^2 \\ &- 2\left(\coth^2 r_i + \coth^2 r_j + \coth^2 r_k + \coth^2 r_l\right) + 4 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Analogous to the Euclidean case, the admissible space of a tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$ to be a hyperbolic tetrahedron is

$$\Omega^{\mathbb{H}}_{ijkl} = \{(r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \mathbb{R}^4_{>0} | Q^{\mathbb{H}}_{ijkl} > 0\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $Q^{\mathbb{H}}_{ijkl}$ is given in (2.3). There is also a version of Soddy-Gossett theorem for the spherical background geometry. We suggest the readers to the paper [27] for general Soddy-Gosset Theorems with different background geometries. We also suggest the readers to the interesting paper [22] on Descartes circle theorem. In this paper, we concentrate on the Euclidean and hyperbolic cases.

Cooper and Rivin [5] called the tetrahedrons generated in this way conformal and proved that a tetrahedron is a Euclidean conformal tetrahedron if and only if there exists a unique sphere tangent to all of the edges of the tetrahedron. Moreover, the point of tangency with the edge $\{i,j\}$ is of distance $r_i$ to $v_i$. They got the following useful lemma on the admissible space of sphere packing metrics for a single tetrahedron.

**Lemma 2.1** ([5]). For a Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$, the admissible spaces $\Omega^{\mathbb{E}}_{ijkl}$ and $\Omega^{\mathbb{H}}_{ijkl}$ are simply connected open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$.

They further pointed out that $\Omega^{\mathbb{E}}_{ijkl}$ is not convex. However, for a triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$, we know little about the admissible space

$$\Omega = \cap_{\{ijkl\}\in T}\Omega_{ijkl} = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^N_{>0} | Q_{ijkl} > 0, \forall \{ijkl\} \in T\}, \tag{2.5}$$

except that it is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$. Here $\Omega_{ijkl}$ denotes the Euclidean or hyperbolic admissible space for a tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$ according to the background geometry and $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$ is naturally embedded in $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$.

For further applications, we need a detailed description of the admissible space $\Omega_{ijkl}$ for a single tetrahedron. Geometrically, the nondegeneracy of the tetrahedron is given by the condition that anyone of the four spheres $S_i, S_j, S_k, S_l$ can not go through the gap between the other three spheres when they are tangent to each other. This condition can be separated into the following four cases, each of which determines a degenerate subset of $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$ respectively.

**(1)** The sphere $S_i$ should not go through the gap between the three mutually tangent spheres $S_j$, $S_k$, $S_l$;

**(2)** The sphere $S_j$ should not go through the gap between the three mutually tangent spheres $S_i$, $S_k$, $S_l$;

**(3)** The sphere $S_k$ should not go through the gap between the three mutually tangent spheres $S_i$, $S_j$, $S_l$;

**(4)** The sphere $S_l$ should not go through the gap between the three mutually tangent spheres $S_i$, $S_j$, $S_k$.

Suppose the degenerate sets corresponding to the four cases, which are subsets of $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$, are denoted by $V_i$, $V_j$, $V_k$, $V_l$ respectively. We have the following result on the structure of the sets $V_i$, $V_j$, $V_k$, $V_l$ and $\Omega_{ijkl}$. Here and in the following, $\Omega_{ijkl}$ denotes $\Omega^{\mathbb{E}}_{ijkl}$ or $\Omega^{\mathbb{H}}_{ijkl}$ according to the background geometry.

**Theorem 2.2.** Each one of $V_i$, $V_j$, $V_k$, $V_l$ is a connected component of $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0} - \Omega_{ijkl}$ and then they are mutually disjoint. Furthermore, the intersection of $\overline{\Omega}_{ijkl}$ with anyone of $V_i$, $V_j$, $V_k$, $V_l$ is a connected component of $\overline{\Omega}_{ijkl} - \Omega_{ijkl}$, which is also a piecewise analytic hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$ and a graph on $\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$.

**Proof.** We analysis the case of Euclidean background geometry with details and just give a sketch for the case of hyperbolic background geometry.

We deal with $V_i$ and just analysis the critical degenerate case, which is mentioned by Cooper and Rivin in [5]. The proofs for $V_j, V_k, V_l$ are similar. The critical degenerate case is that $r_i$ is large enough so that the sphere $S_i$ of radius $r_i$ is large enough to be tangent to the other three spheres $S_j, S_k, S_l$, yet small enough that its center $v_i$ lies in the plane defined by $v_j, v_k, v_l$. In fact, there should be an additional condition that the sphere $S_i$ should lie in the gap between the other three spheres $S_j, S_k, S_l$. Projecting the spheres to the plane determined by $v_j, v_k, v_l$, we get four externally tangent circles $C_i, C_j, C_k, C_l$. In some cases, it may happen that there are two circles externally tangent to $C_j, C_k, C_l$ simultaneously. For these cases, we should take the circle with small radius, which corresponds to the condition that the sphere $S_i$ lies in the gap between $S_j, S_k, S_l$.

Suppose $S_i$ is the sphere in the gap of the other three spheres $S_j, S_k, S_l$. Then in the critical degenerate case, by Descartes' circle theorem, we have

$$Q_{ijkl} = \left(\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{1}{r_j} + \frac{1}{r_k} + \frac{1}{r_l}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{1}{r_i^2} + \frac{1}{r_j^2} + \frac{1}{r_k^2} + \frac{1}{r_l^2}\right) = 0$$

which is equivalent to the quadratic equation in $r_i$

$$Ar_i^2 + Br_i^2 + C = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A =& 2r_k r_l r_j r_l + 2r_k r_l r_j r_k + 2r_j r_l r_j r_k - r_k^2 r_l^2 - r_j^2 r_l^2 - r_j^2 r_k^2, \\ B =& 2(r_k r_l + r_j r_l + r_j r_k) r_j r_k r_l > 0, \\ C =& -r_j^2 r_k^2 r_l^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the quadratic equation (2.6) of $r_i$, the discriminant is

$$\Delta = B^2 - 4AC = 16 r_j^3 r_k^3 r_l^3 (r_j + r_k + r_l),$$

which is always positive for $(r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A =& 2r_k r_l r_j r_l + 2r_k r_l r_j r_k + 2r_j r_l r_j r_k - r_k^2 r_l^2 - r_j^2 r_l^2 - r_j^2 r_k^2 \\ =& (\sqrt{r_j r_k} + \sqrt{r_j r_l} + \sqrt{r_k r_l})(\sqrt{r_j r_k} + \sqrt{r_j r_l} - \sqrt{r_k r_l}) \\ & (\sqrt{r_j r_k} - \sqrt{r_j r_l} + \sqrt{r_k r_l})(-\sqrt{r_j r_k} + \sqrt{r_j r_l} + \sqrt{r_k r_l}). \end{aligned}$$

In the case that $\sqrt{r_j r_k}, \sqrt{r_j r_l}, \sqrt{r_k r_l}$ satisfy the triangle inequalities, we have $A > 0$. As $B > 0$ and $C < 0$, the quadratic equation (2.6) has two solutions with different signs and negative sum. Note that $r_i > 0$, we have

$$r_i = \frac{-B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A} > 0.$$

In the case $\sqrt{r_jr_k}+\sqrt{r_jr_l}=\sqrt{r_kr_l}$, or $\sqrt{r_jr_k}+\sqrt{r_kr_l}=\sqrt{r_jr_l}$, or $\sqrt{r_kr_l}+\sqrt{r_jr_l}=\sqrt{r_jr_k}$, we have $A=0$ and

$$r_i=-\frac{C}{B}>0.$$

Otherwise we have $A<0$, $B>0$ and $C<0$ with discriminant $\Delta>0$. Then the quadratic equation (2.6) have two different positive solutions. Note that the case we deal here is that the four circles with finite radii are externally tangent and the circle $C_i$ lies in the gap between the circles $C_j, C_k, C_l$. So we should take the small root of the equation (2.6) and then

$$r_i=\frac{B-\sqrt{\Delta}}{-2A}=\frac{-B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}>0.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{jkl}=\{(r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}|&\sqrt{r_jr_k}<\sqrt{r_jr_l}+\sqrt{r_kr_l},\sqrt{r_jr_l}<\sqrt{r_jr_k}+\sqrt{r_kr_l},\\&\sqrt{r_kr_l}<\sqrt{r_jr_l}+\sqrt{r_jr_k}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, in the critical degenerate case, $r_i$ is a function of $r_j, r_k, r_l$, which is given by

$$r_i=f(r_j,r_k,r_l)=\begin{cases}\frac{-B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}, & (r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\Omega_{jkl};\\ -\frac{C}{B}, & (r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\partial\Omega_{jkl};\\ \frac{-B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}, & (r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}\setminus\overline{\Omega_{jkl}}.\end{cases}\tag{2.7}$$

Note that $\partial\Omega_{jkl}$ is in fact defined by $A=0$, it is easy to check that, as $(r_j,r_k,r_l)$ tends to any point in $\partial\Omega_{jkl}$, we have

$$\frac{-B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}\to-\frac{C}{B},$$

which implies that $f$ is in fact a continuous and piecewise analytic function of $r_j, r_k$ and $r_l$. Then the degenerate set $V_i$ is given by

$$V_i=\{r=(r_i,r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}|0<r_i\le f(r_j,r_k,r_l)\},$$

which is a simply connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}V_j=&\{r=(r_i,r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}|0<r_j\le f(r_i,r_k,r_l)\},\\ V_k=&\{r=(r_i,r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}|0<r_k\le f(r_i,r_j,r_l)\},\\ V_l=&\{r=(r_i,r_j,r_k,r_l)\in\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}|0<r_l\le f(r_i,r_j,r_k)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}=\Omega_{ijkl}\cup V_i\cup V_j\cup V_k\cup V_l.$$

We claim that $V_i, V_j, V_k, V_l$ are mutually disjoint. This can be proved by contradiction. Suppose $V_i\cap V_j\neq\emptyset$ and $r=(r_i,r_j,r_k,r_l)\in V_i\cap V_j\subset\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$. By the geometric meaning of the critical degenerate case, we have

$$S_{\triangle ijk}+S_{\triangle ijl}+S_{\triangle ikl}\le S_{\triangle jkl},\tag{2.8}$$

and

$$S_{\triangle ijk} + S_{\triangle ijl} + S_{\triangle jkl} \leq S_{\triangle ikl}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $S_{\triangle ijk}$ denotes the area of the triangle $\{ijk\} \in F$ with lengths $l_{ij} = r_i + r_j, l_{ik} = r_i + r_k, l_{jk} = r_j + r_k$. Combining (2.8) with (2.9), we have $S_{\triangle ijk} + S_{\triangle ijl} \leq 0$, which is impossible. So we have $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$. This completes the proof of the theorem for the Euclidean background geometry.

The proof for the case of hyperbolic background geometry is similar. The boundary $\partial_i \Omega_{ijkl} = \overline{\Omega}_{ijkl} \cap V_i$ of $V_i$ in $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$ is determined as follows

$$\tanh r_i = \begin{cases} \frac{-B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}, & (r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0} - \partial\Omega_{jkl} \\ -\frac{C}{B}, & (r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \partial\Omega_{jkl} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A =& 4\tanh^2 r_j \tanh^2 r_k \tanh^2 r_l + (\tanh r_j \tanh r_k + \tanh r_j \tanh r_l + \tanh r_k \tanh r_l)^2 \\ & - 2\left(\tanh^2 r_j \tanh^2 r_k + \tanh^2 r_j \tanh^2 r_l + \tanh^2 r_k \tanh^2 r_l\right), \\ B =& 2\tanh r_j \tanh r_k \tanh r_l (\tanh r_j \tanh r_k + \tanh r_j \tanh r_l + \tanh r_k \tanh r_l) > 0, \\ C =& -\tanh^2 r_j \tanh^2 r_k \tanh^2 r_l < 0, \\ \Delta =& B^2 - 4AC = 16\tanh^3 r_k \tanh^3 r_l (1 + \tanh r_k \tanh r_l) > 0, \\ \partial\Omega_{jkl} =& \{(r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0} | A(r_j, r_k, r_l) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $r_i = f(r_j, r_k, r_l)$, then $f$ is obviously continuous and piecewise analytic. The corresponding degenerate set $V_i$ is given by

$$V_i = \{(r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \mathbb{R}^4_{>0} | 0 < r_i \leq f(r_j, r_k, r_l)\}.$$

The rest of the proof for the hyperbolic case is parallel to that of the Euclidean case, so we omit the details here. □

**Remark 1.** By Theorem 2.2, we find that the admissible space $\Omega_{ijkl}$ of sphere packing metrics is in fact homotopic to $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$. This provides another proof of Lemma 2.1 that $\Omega_{ijkl}$ is simply connected, which was first obtained by Cooper and Rivin in [5].

In the following, we always take $\partial_i \Omega_{ijkl} = \overline{\Omega}_{ijkl} \cap V_i$.

## 2.2 Combinatorial scalar curvature

For a triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$ with sphere packing metric $r$, Cooper and Rivin [5] defined the combinatorial scalar curvature $K_i$ at a vertex $i$ as angle deficit of solid angles

$$K_i = 4\pi - \sum_{\{i,j,k,l\} \in T} \alpha_{ijkl}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\alpha_{ijkl}$ is the solid angle at the vertex $i$ of the tetrahedron $\{i,j,k,l\} \in T$ and the sum is taken over all tetrahedrons with $i$ as one of its vertices. When we are discussing in a single tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$, we usually denote the solid angle $\alpha_{ijkl}$ at the vertex $v_i$ as $\alpha_i$ for convenience in the following. $K_i$ locally measures the difference between the volume growth rate of a small ball centered at vertex $v_i$ in $M$ and a Euclidean ball of the same radius. Cooper and Rivin's definition of combinatorial scalar curvature is motivated by the fact that, in the smooth case, the scalar curvature at a point $p$ locally measures the difference of the volume growth rate of the geodesic ball with center $p$ to the Euclidean ball [1, 23]. From this point of view, Cooper and Rivin's definition of combinatorial scalar curvature is a good candidate as combinatorial scalar curvature with geometric meanings parallel to smooth case. For convenience, we call Cooper and Rivin's definition of combinatorial scalar curvature as CR-curvature for short in the following, if there is no confusion.

For a single tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$, Cooper and Rivin got the following interesting result.

**Lemma 2.3** ([5])**.** For a single tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$, set

$$\mathcal{S}_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} \sum_{A\in\{i,j,k,l\}} \alpha_A r_A, & \text{Euclidean background geometry;} \\ \sum_{A\in\{i,j,k,l\}} \alpha_A r_A + 2\text{Vol}, & \text{hyperbolic background geometry;} \end{cases}$$

where Vol is the volume of the tetrahedron for the hyperbolic background geometry. We have

$$d\mathcal{S}_{ijkl} = \sum_{A\in\{i,j,k,l\}} \alpha_A dr_A = \alpha_i dr_i + \alpha_j dr_j + \alpha_k dr_k + \alpha_l dr_l. \tag{2.11}$$

Furthermore, the Hessian of $\mathcal{S}_{ijkl}$, i.e. $\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r}\right)$, is negative semi-definite with kernel $\{t(r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l) | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for the Euclidean background geometry and negative definite for the hyperbolic background geometry.

Combining Lemma 2.1 with Lemma 2.3, we have the following useful lemma on construction of functions.

**Lemma 2.4.** Given a Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$ and $r_0 \in \Omega_{ijkl}$,

$$F_{ijkl}(r) = \int_{r_0}^{r} \alpha_i dr_i + \alpha_j dr_j + \alpha_k dr_k + \alpha_l dr_l \tag{2.12}$$

is a well defined locally concave function on $\Omega_{ijkl}$. Furthermore, $F_{ijkl}(r)$ is strictly concave on $\Omega_{ijkl} \cap \{r_i^2 + r_j^2 + r_k^2 + r_l^2 = c\}$ for any $c > 0$ in the Euclidean background geometry and $F_{ijkl}(r)$ is strictly concave on $\Omega_{ijkl}$ in the hyperbolic background geometry.

Using Lemma 2.3, we have the following important property for the CR-curvature.

**Lemma 2.5.** ([5, 16, 28]) Suppose $(M, \mathcal{T})$ is a triangulated 3-manifold with sphere packing metric $r$, $\mathcal{S}$ is the total combinatorial scalar curvature, which is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}(r) = \begin{cases} \sum K_i r_i, & \text{Euclidean background geometry;} \\ \sum K_i r_i - 2\text{Vol}(M), & \text{Hyperbolic background geometry.} \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Then we have

$$d\mathcal{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_i dr_i. \tag{2.14}$$

Set

$$\Lambda = Hess_r \mathcal{S} = \frac{\partial(K_1, \cdots, K_N)}{\partial(r_1, \cdots, r_N)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial r_1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial r_N} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \frac{\partial K_N}{\partial r_1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{\partial K_N}{\partial r_N} \end{pmatrix},$$

In the case of Euclidean background geometry, $\Lambda$ is symmetric and positive semi-definite with rank $N-1$ and the kernel $\{tr | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. In the case of hyperbolic background geometry, $\Lambda$ is symmetric and positive definite.

We refer the readers to [14, 17] for a nice geometrical explanation of $\frac{\partial K_i}{\partial r_j}$. It should be emphasized that, as pointed out by Glickenstein [14], the elements $\frac{\partial K_i}{\partial r_j}$ for $i \sim j$ may be negative, which is different from that of the two dimensional case.

## 2.3 Extension of functions

For a single Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron $\{ijkl\} \in T$, the solid angle function $\alpha(r) = (\alpha_i(r), \alpha_j(r), \alpha_k(r), \alpha_l(r))$ is defined on the admissible space $\Omega_{ijkl}$. However, we can extend the solid angle function by constants to be defined on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$. In fact, we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.6.** Given a Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron, the solid angle $\alpha = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k, \alpha_l)$ defined on $\Omega_{ijkl}$ could be extended by constant functions to a continuous function $\widetilde{\alpha} = (\widetilde{\alpha}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_j, \widetilde{\alpha}_k, \widetilde{\alpha}_l)$ on $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$.

**Proof.** The extension $\widetilde{\alpha}_i$ of $\alpha_i$ is defined to be $\widetilde{\alpha}_i(r) = 2\pi$ for $r = (r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l) \in V_i$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_i(r) = 2\pi$ for $r = (r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l) \in V_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \{j, k, l\}$. The extensions $\widetilde{\alpha}_j$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_k$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_l$ of $\alpha_j$, $\alpha_k$, $\alpha_l$ are defined similarly.

If $r = (r_i, r_j, r_k, r_l) \in \Omega_{ijkl}$ and $r \to P$ for some point $P \in \partial_i \Omega_{ijkl}$, then geometrically the tetrahedron $\{ijkl\}$ tends to degenerate with the center $v_i$ of the sphere $S_i$ tends

to lie in the geodesic plane determined by $v_j, v_k, v_l$ and the corresponding circle $C_i$ is externally tangent to $C_j, C_k, C_l$ with $C_i$ in the gap between $C_j, C_k, C_l$. Then we have $\alpha_i \to 2\pi, \alpha_j \to 0, \alpha_k \to 0$ and $\alpha_l \to 0$, as $r \to P \in \partial_i \Omega_{ijkl}$. This implies that the extension $\widetilde{\alpha}$ of $\alpha$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$. □

Before going on, we recall the following definition and theorem of Luo in [26].

**Definition 2.7.** A differential 1-form $w = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)dx^i$ in an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be continuous if each $a_i(x)$ is continuous on $U$. A differential 1-form $w$ is called closed if $\int_{\partial\tau} w = 0$ for each triangle $\tau \subset U$.

**Theorem 2.8** ([26] Corollary 2.6)**.** Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open convex set and $A \subset X$ is an open subset of $X$ bounded by a $C^1$ smooth codimension-1 submanifold in $X$. If $w = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)dx_i$ is a continuous closed 1-form on $A$ so that $F(x) = \int_a^x w$ is locally convex on $A$ and each $a_i$ can be extended continuous to $X$ by constant functions to a function $\widetilde{a}_i$ on $X$, then $\widetilde{F}(x) = \int_a^x \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{a}_i(x)dx_i$ is a $C^1$-smooth convex function on $X$ extending $F$.

Combining Theorem 2.2, Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.8, we get the following important lemma.

**Lemma 2.9.** For a Euclidean or hyperbolic tetrahedron $\{i, j, k, l\} \in T$, the function $F_{ijkl}(r)$ defined on $\Omega_{ijkl}$ in (2.12) could be extended to the following function

$$\widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \widetilde{\alpha}_i dr_i + \widetilde{\alpha}_j dr_j + \widetilde{\alpha}_k dr_k + \widetilde{\alpha}_l dr_l, \tag{2.15}$$

which is a $C^1$-smooth concave function defined on $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$ with

$$\nabla_r \widetilde{F}_{ijkl} = \widetilde{\alpha}^T = (\widetilde{\alpha}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_j, \widetilde{\alpha}_k, \widetilde{\alpha}_l)^T.$$

# 3 The global rigidity for Cooper and Rivin's combinatorial scalar curvature

Now we can prove the global rigidity of the combinatorial scalar curvature.

**Definition 3.1.** For any function $f \in C(V)$, if there exists a sphere packing metric $r \in \Omega = \cap_{\{ijkl\} \in T} \Omega_{ijkl}$ with $K(r) = f$, then $f$ is called an admissible curvature function.

Theorem 1.2 on the global rigidity of sphere packing metric with respect to Cooper and Rivin's combinatorial scalar curvature could be stated in the following form using admissible curvature functions.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose $\overline{K}$ is an admissible curvature function on a connected closed triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$, then there exists at most one admissible sphere packing metric in $\Omega$ with CR-curvature $\overline{K}$ ( up to scaling in the case of Euclidean background geometry).

**Proof.** We only prove the case for Euclidean background geometry and the case for hyperbolic background geometry is proved similarly. Suppose $r_0 \in \Omega$ is an admissible sphere packing metric. Define a Ricci potential function

$$\widetilde{F}(r) = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}(4\pi - \overline{K}_i)r_i, \tag{3.1}$$

where the function $\widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r)$ is defined by (2.15). Note that the second term in (3.1) is linear in $r$ and well-defined on $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$. Combining with Lemma 2.9, we have $\widetilde{F}(r)$ is a well-defined $C^1$-smooth convex function on $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$ and strictly convex on $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0} \cap \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. Furthermore,

$$\nabla_{r_i}\widetilde{F} = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{\alpha}_{ijkl} + (4\pi - \overline{K}_i) = \widetilde{K}_i - \overline{K}_i, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\widetilde{K}_i = 4\pi - \sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{\alpha}_{ijkl}$ is an extension of $K_i$.

If there are two different sphere packing metric $\overline{r}_A$ and $\overline{r}_B$ in $\Omega$ with the same combinatorial scalar curvature $\overline{K}$, then they are both critical point of the extended Ricci potential $\widetilde{F}(r)$ by (3.2). It follows that

$$\nabla\widetilde{F}(\overline{r}_A) = \nabla\widetilde{F}(\overline{r}_B) = 0.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) =& \widetilde{F}((1-t)\overline{r}_A + t\overline{r}_B) \\ =& \sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} f_{ijkl}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}(4\pi - \overline{K}_i)[(1-t)\overline{r}_{A,i} + t\overline{r}_{B,i}], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_{ijkl}(t) = -\widetilde{F}_{ijkl}((1-t)\overline{r}_{A,i} + t\overline{r}_{B,i}).$$

Then $f(t)$ is a $C^1$-smooth convex function on $[0,1]$ and $f'(0) = f'(1) = 0$, which implies that $f'(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0,1]$.

Note that $\overline{r}_A \in \Omega$ and $\Omega$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(1-t)\overline{r}_A + t\overline{r}_B \in \Omega$ for $t \in [0,\epsilon]$. So $f(t)$ is smooth on $[0,\epsilon]$. $f'(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0,1]$ implies that $f''(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0,\epsilon]$. Note that, for $t \in [0,\epsilon]$, we have

$$f''(t) = (\overline{r}_A - \overline{r}_B)\Lambda(\overline{r}_A - \overline{r}_B)^T.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $\overline{r}_A = c\overline{r}_B$ for some positive constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. So there exists at most one sphere packing metric with the given admissible function $\overline{K}$ up to scaling for the Euclidean background geometry. □

**Remark 2.** The variational method used to prove Theorem 3.2 was first found by Y. C. de Verdière[6], and then further studied in [4]. The extension of convex function was first used in [2] to study the combinatorial curvature on triangulated surfaces with piecewise linear metric. This method was then used in the proof of the global rigidity of inversive distance circle packing metric [20, 26]. These methods are then use by Ge, Jiang and the author to study the rigidity and deformation of a new combinatorial curvature for two and three dimensional triangulated manifolds [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

# 4 The global rigidity for R-curvature

In [10], Ge and the author once introduced the combinatorial scalar curvature $R_i = \frac{K_i}{r_i^2}$ for the Euclidean background geometry, which is motivated by the geometric approximating and translating property of the smooth scalar curvature. As mentioned in the introduction, this definition can be modified to fit the case of hyperbolic background geometry. In fact, we have the following definition of combinatorial scalar curvature.

**Definition 4.1.** Given a closed triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$ with Euclidean or hyperbolic background geometry, the combinatorial scalar curvature is defined to be

$$R_i = \frac{K_i}{s_i^2}, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$s_i(r) = \begin{cases} r_i, & \text{Euclidean background geometry;} \\ \tanh \frac{r_i}{2}, & \text{hyperbolic background geometry.} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

**Remark 3.** R-curvature could be defined for spherical background geometry as $R_i = \frac{K_i}{\tan^2 \frac{r_i}{2}}$.

For R-curvature, we have the following global rigidity.

**Theorem 4.2.** Given a connected closed triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$, $\overline{R} \in C(V)$ is a given function defined on $(M, \mathcal{T})$.

**(1)** In the case of Euclidean background geometry, if $\overline{R} \equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$ up to scaling; if $\overline{R} \leq 0$ and $\overline{R} \not\equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

**(2)** In the case of hyperbolic background geometry, if $\overline{R} \leq 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

**Proof.** We only prove the case of Euclidean background geometry in details and give a sketch of the proof for the case of hyperbolic background geometry.

Suppose $r_0 \in \Omega$ is an admissible sphere packing metric. Then we can define the following Ricci potential function $F(r)$ defined by $\overline{R}$

$$F(r) = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} F_{ijkl}(r) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i r_i^2) dr_i \tag{4.3}$$

on $\Omega$. Note that the function $F_{ijkl}(r)$ defined on $\Omega_{ijkl}$ could be extended to $\widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r)$ defined by (2.15) on $\mathbb{R}^N$ by Lemma 2.9 and the second term $\int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i r_i^2) dr_i$ in (4.3) can be naturally defined on $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$, then we have the following extension $\widetilde{F}(r)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$ of the Ricci potential function $F(r)$

$$\widetilde{F}(r) = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i r_i^2) dr_i.$$

As $\widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r)$ is $C^1$-smooth concave by Lemma 2.9 and $\int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i r_i^2) dr_i$ is a well-defined convex function on $\mathbb{R}^N$ for $\overline{R} \leq 0$, we have $\widetilde{F}(r)$ is a $C^1$-smooth convex function on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Furthermore,

$$\nabla_{r_i} \widetilde{F} = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{\alpha}_{ijkl} + 4\pi - \overline{R}_i r_i^2 = \widetilde{K}_i - \overline{R}_i r_i^2,$$

where $\widetilde{K}_i = 4\pi - \sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{\alpha}_{ijkl}$.

If there are two different sphere packing metrics $\overline{r}_A, \overline{r}_B \in \Omega$ with the same combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$, then $\overline{r}_A \in \Omega$, $\overline{r}_B \in \Omega$ are both critical points of the extended Ricci potential $\widetilde{F}(r)$. It follows that

$$\nabla \widetilde{F}(\overline{r}_A) = \nabla \widetilde{F}(\overline{r}_B) = 0.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) =& \widetilde{F}((1-t)\overline{r}_A + t\overline{r}_B) \\ =& \sum_{\{ijkl\}\in F} f_{ijkl}(t) + \int_{r_0}^{(1-t)\overline{r}_A + t\overline{r}_B} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i r_i^2) dr_i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_{ijkl}(t) = -\widetilde{F}_{ijkl}((1-t)\overline{r}_A + t\overline{r}_B).$$

Then $f(t)$ is a $C^1$ convex function on $[0,1]$ and $f'(0) = f'(1) = 0$, which implies that $f'(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0,1]$. Note that $\overline{r}_A$ belongs to the open set $\Omega$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(1-t)\overline{r}_A + t\overline{r}_B \in \Omega$ for $t \in [0,\epsilon]$. So $f(t)$ is smooth on $[0,\epsilon]$.

In the case of $\overline{R} \leq 0$ and $\overline{R} \not\equiv 0$, it is easy to check that $\widetilde{F}(r)$ is strictly convex on $\Omega$, which implies that $f(t)$ is strictly convex on $[0,\epsilon]$ and $f'(t)$ is a strictly increasing function on $[0,\epsilon]$. Then $f'(0) = 0$ implies $f'(\epsilon) > 0$, which contradicts $f'(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0,1]$. So there exists at most one sphere packing metric with combinatorial $R$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

In the case that $\overline{R} \equiv 0$, we have $f(t)$ is $C^1$ convex on $[0,1]$ and smooth on $[0,\epsilon]$. $f'(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0,1]$ implies that $f''(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0,\epsilon]$. Note that, for $t \in [0,\epsilon]$,

$$f''(t) = (\overline{r}_A - \overline{r}_B)\Lambda(\overline{r}_A - \overline{r}_B)^T.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $\overline{r}_A = c\overline{r}_B$ for some positive constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. So there exists at most one sphere packing metric with zero $R$-curvature up to scaling.

For the case of hyperbolic background geometry, the Ricci potential $F(r)$ and its extension $\widetilde{F}(s)$ are defined as

$$F(r) = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} F_{ijkl}(r) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i \tanh^2 \frac{r_i}{2}) dr_i$$

and

$$\widetilde{F}(r) = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i \tanh^2 \frac{r_i}{2}) dr_i$$

respectively. The rest of the proof for the hyperbolic background geometry is almost parallel to the case of Euclidean background geometry, so we omit the details here. □

As a corollary of Theorem 4.2, we have the following global rigidity for the sphere packing metrics with constant combinatorial $R$-curvature.

**Corollary 4.3.** Given a closed connected triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$.

**(1)** In the case of Euclidean background geometry, there exists at most one sphere packing metric with constant combinatorial $R$-curvature 0 up to scaling and there exists at most one sphere packing metric with negative constant combinatorial $R$-curvature $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

**(2)** In the case of hyperbolic background geometry, there exists at most one sphere packing metric with nonpositive constant combinatorial $R$-curvature.

## 5 The global rigidity for combinatorial $\alpha$-scalar curvature

In [12], Ge and the author once generalized the $R$-curvature to $\alpha$-curvature for any parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ in the Euclidean background geometry. As before, we can modify the definition to fit the hyperbolic background geometry as follows.

**Definition 5.1.** Given a closed triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$ with Euclidean or hyperbolic background geometry and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, the combinatorial $\alpha$-curvature is defined to be

$$R_i = \frac{K_i}{s_i^\alpha},$$

where

$$s_i(r) = \begin{cases} r_i, & \text{Euclidean background geometry;} \\ \tanh \frac{r_i}{2}, & \text{hyperbolic background geometry.} \end{cases}$$

The definition of $\alpha$-curvature obviously generalizes the definition of CR-curvature and R-curvature, which are corresponding to $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 2$ respectively. For this curvature, we have the following global rigidity result, which is similar to that of the $R$-curvature.

**Theorem 5.2.** Given a closed connected triangulated 3-manifold $(M, \mathcal{T})$ and $\overline{R} \in C(V)$ is a given function on $M$.

**(1)** In the case of Euclidean background geometry, if $\alpha\overline{R} \equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $\alpha$-curvature $\overline{R}$ up to scaling. For $\overline{R} \in C(V)$ with $\alpha\overline{R} \leq 0$ and $\alpha\overline{R} \not\equiv 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $\alpha$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

**(2)** In the case of hyperbolic background geometry, if $\alpha\overline{R} \leq 0$, there exists at most one sphere packing metric $\overline{r} \in \Omega$ with combinatorial $\alpha$-curvature $\overline{R}$.

Theorem 5.2 can be proved similarly to that of Theorem 4.2, using

$$\widetilde{F}(r) = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i r_i^\alpha) dr_i$$

for the Euclidean background geometry and

$$\widetilde{F}(r) = -\sum_{\{ijkl\}\in T} \widetilde{F}_{ijkl}(r) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (4\pi - \overline{R}_i \tanh^\alpha \frac{r_i}{2}) dr_i$$

for the hyperbolic background geometry as extended Ricci potential function respectively. As the proof of Theorem 5.2 is parallel to that of Theorem 4.2, we omit the details here.

**Remark 4.** The global rigidity result for $\alpha$-curvature, i.e. Theorem 5.2, generalizes Theorem 3.2 on the global rigidity of CR-curvature and Theorem 4.2 on the global rigidity of R-curvature. Especially, if $\alpha = 0$, the condition $\alpha\overline{R} \leq 0$ in fact has no restriction on $\overline{R}$.

**Acknowledgements**

The research of the author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant no. 11301402.

# References

[1] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Reprint of the 1987 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[2] A Bobenko, U Pinkall, B Springborn, *Discrete conformal maps and ideal hyperbolic polyhedra*. Geom. Topol. 19 (2015), no. 4, 2155-2215.

[3] P. L. Bowers, K. Stephenson, *Uniformizing dessins and Belyĭ maps via circle packing*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 170 (2004), no. 805

[4] B. Chow, F. Luo, *Combinatorial Ricci flows on surfaces*, J. Differential Geometry, 63 (2003), 97-129.

[5] D. Cooper, I. Rivin, *Combinatorial scalar curvature and rigidity of ball packings*, Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996), 51-60.

[6] Y. C. de Verdière, *Un principe variationnel pour les empilements de cercles*, Invent. Math. 104(3) (1991) 655-669.

[7] H. Ge, W. Jiang, *On the deformation of inversive distance circle packings*. arXiv:1604.08317 [math.GT].

[8] H. Ge, W. Jiang, *On the deformation of inversive distance circle packings, II*. arXiv:1607.00833 [math.GT].

[9] H. Ge, X. Xu, *Discrete quasi-Einstein metrics and combinatorial curvature flows in 3-dimension*, Adv. Math. 267 (2014), 470-497.

[10] H. Ge, X. Xu, *A combinatorial Yamabe problem on two and three dimensional manifolds*. arXiv:1504.05814v2 [math.DG].

[11] H. Ge, X. Xu, *A Discrete Ricci Flow on Surfaces with Hyperbolic Background Geometry*, International Mathematics Research Notices 2016; doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnw142

[12] H. Ge, X. Xu, *$\alpha$-curvatures and $\alpha$-flows on low dimensional triangulated manifolds*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016), no. 1, Art. 12, 16 pp

[13] H. Ge, X. Xu, *On a combinatorial curvature for surfaces with inversive distance circle packing metrics*. In preparation

[14] D. Glickenstein, *A combinatorial Yamabe flow in three dimensions*, Topology 44 (2005), No. 4, 791-808.

[15] D. Glickenstein, *A maximum principle for combinatorial Yamabe flow*, Topology 44 (2005), No. 4, 809-825.

[16] D. Glickenstein, *Geometric triangulations and discrete Laplacians on manifolds*, arXiv:math/0508188v1 [math.MG].

[17] D. Glickenstein, *Discrete conformal variations and scalar curvature on piecewise flat two and three dimensional manifolds*, J.Differential Geometry, 87(2011), 201-238.

[18] X. D. Gu, F. Luo, J. Sun, T. Wu, *A discrete uniformization theorem for polyhedral surfaces*, arXiv:1309.4175 [math.GT].

[19] X. D. Gu, R. Guo, F. Luo, J. Sun, T. Wu, *A discrete uniformization theorem for polyhedral surfaces II*, arXiv:1401.4594 [math.GT].

[20] R. Guo, *Local rigidity of inversive distance circle packing*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011) 4757-4776.

[21] M. K. Hurdal, K. Stephenson, *Discrete conformal methods for cortical brain flattening*. Neuroimage, 45 (2009) S86-S98.

[22] J.C. Lagarias, C.L. Mallows, A.R. Wilks, *Beyond the descartes circle theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly 109 (4) (2002) 338-361.

[23] J. Lee, T. Parker, *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 17 (1) (1987) 37-91.

[24] F. Luo, *Combinatorial Yamabe flow on surfaces*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 6 (2004), no. 5, 765-780.

[25] F. Luo, *A combinatorial curvature flow for compact* 3*-manifolds with boundary*. Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (2005), 12-20.

[26] F. Luo, *Rigidity of polyhedral surfaces, III*, Geom. Topol. 15 (2011), 2299-2319.

[27] J. G. Mauldon, *Sets of equally inclined spheres*, Canadian J. Math. 14 (1962) 509-516.

[28] I. Rivin, *An extended correction to Combinatorial Scalar Curvature and Rigidity of Ball Packings, (by D. Cooper and I. Rivin)*, arXiv:math/0302069v2 [math.MG].

[29] W. Thurston, *Geometry and topology of* 3*-manifolds*, Princeton lecture notes 1976, http://www.msri.org/publications/books/gt3m.

[30] M. Zhang, R. Guo, W. Zeng, F. Luo, S.T. Yau, X, Gu, *The unified discrete surface Ricci flow*, Graphical Models 76 (2014), 321-339.

(Xu Xu) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, P.R. China

E-mail: xuxu2@whu.edu.cn